Preserv

App. F. IX-4

Previous References
27 - 31 - 2
126 - 31 - 2
169 28 1 E
26. - 103

~~*Later References.*~~
264 24 8 9
264 -38
8 24 1-15-
94 27 176
35 E

1934.
(Nagpur

File No. 58 34
Serial Nos. 1 5-

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Dang Dy/126.37.

Serial Nos. 5-

Part B for February 1935

SUBJECT.

Management of Sri Avani Mu
properties

To the Govt
Govt Pol
" Revenue Govt

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

Notes 17 & 16 E-35
Sanction loan to redeem the
mortgage properties according to terms

G. R. S. APP F. III—1] 193 /.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

FROM

No. Dated Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The collections containing the Govt orders dated 22.4.1925 and 29.9.1927 referred to in the Tungai Commrs letter under disposal have not been traced readily traceable and they are required for the disposal of the cases. Further attempts will be made to trace them and the file resubmitted on the 8th if not traced by then.

Yes

6/5

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 01683—GPB—5,000—3-5-29

Serial No.

8/

Further searches were made and the two Collectors Murr 264-24-6 and 265-24-6 referred to in the M.'s letter under disposal, have not been traced. These seem to be some Municipal Collections taken for reference by the Law Section. This may be sent to Law Section, to know if they have these two collections, as mentioned in reference in the 1st line in case they require them here

4 Supdt protection Branch 1/15

Compliments.

28/8

5 Murr 264-24-6 which had been put up for reference a file of the Law Section is sent to Records today. It may be obtained from there. The other file is traced to have been taken by the Municipal D No 62/33 on 17-7-33.

Ch. 6/9 Re Supdt. M Bor. W-C

27/8

24/8/34

WD 01955—GPP—22,500—12 6 31

2) Management of Sri Alvar Mutt – Restoration to the Swamipalu.

Mutt File No. of 1934 | General and Revenue Secretariat

1 The management of the properties of Sri Alvar Mutt was taken over by Govt G.O dated 22.4.1925 and is being continued till now in compliance with the request of the present Swamipalu whose appointment to the peetha as such was not objected by Govt in G.O. dated 24.9.1927.

2 The Deputy Commr Kolar states that the Swamipalu is old enough to tour round, among his pupils disciples and that it does not seem necessary to continue the management of the estates by Govt as Agent of the Mutt. He further states that the scale of expenditure of the institution also requires revision so as to keep the expenditure within prudent limits, and that, so long as the institution is under Govt management, their responsibility is not likely to be realised by the Swamipalu to the extent to which he would if he were managing the estate himself. It is also stated that there is a constant tendency to ask for over drafts.

4 The Municipal Commissioner is in full agreement with the above views of the D.C Kolar and he

Management of Sri Arani Mutt — Restoration to Swamiyar

[App. F. III—4

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. — of 1934.

Serial No.

Recommends in the circumstances explained in para 2 of his letter, that the management of the Mutt may be ordered to be restored to the Swamiyar.

1.0 It is for consideration if the wishes of the Swamiyar, in the matter, may also be ascertained. Submit for orders.

In view of what the D.C. Lakshmipuram has recommended this seems necessary. J. 7/9.

11) Under the provisions of 25 clause (3) and 30) Regulation VII of the management has to cease when a successor is duly appointed and is competent to manage the properties and when the minority or other disqualification terminates.

Submit for orders.
W 6/9/34

12) For reasons fully explained in the Board (M.C.'s letter dt. 24.7.34 and its enclosure (D.C.'s D.O. letter to M.C. dt. 29.6.34) the recommendation of the M.C. to restore the management of the Sri Arani Mutt to the Swamiyar who is sufficiently age to take up the management may be affirmed.

7/9/34

Ch: Secy) 13) It seems to me that it is scarcely fair to the Swami that the Govt should suddenly and without prior notice to the Swami decide [illegible]

SEP 1934

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12 6-34

Sri Swami Nadar — Resignation of Management

96

Alw File No. of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Of the Management of the Mutt Properties - The Swami may be informed of the intention of Govt and asked to make arrangements for taking over the properties from a specified date — Say 1st January 1935 or 1st July 1935 —

RS
13/9/34

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF EXECUTIVE COUNCIL.
14 SEPT 1934
No. 2006

2nd member —

I & I generally agree with the D.C & the Muzrai Comr — In previous cases, I think the Swami Concerned was consulted & his views were on record before Govt passed final orders. The R.C's ref^e does not indicate that the Swami was consulted He may therefore be asked to consult the Swami about the proposal & also the date from which it might be given effect to & report to Govt

JGM
19/9

RS
20/9 20/9

A draft to the H.C is submitted.

16/Sept 21/9

RFB
21/9

General and Revenue Secretariat — [App. F. III—2

File No. 5-8 of 1936.

Serial No.	
2	(illegible) dated 27.9.36 (illegible)
	States that (illegible) with him and the (illegible) to represent the (illegible) proposal and also the date (illegible) which it might be (illegible) and permit to depart to (illegible)
Los Ang 2/10/36 3	Letter No. 389/34-35 & 3-1-35 from the Muzrai Commissioner stating that the Swamigalu of Sri Avadi Mutt expects the Government not only to manage Mutt properties but to conduct his litigation and to advance money to the tune of Rs 30000/- or even more for discharging the deceased debts etc and that if this is conceded the management will have to remain with Government until the advance is recovered which will be about 20 years. Stating which it is better if the responsibility is provided of.
	1) Submit for orders —
6/2/1936 11	18) There is a further report received yesterday from the M.C. in which his opinion is modified. Please put it up & note. 11/11/35

Mys File No. of 193 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

Letter no 818/32-33 dy 9.1.35 from the Mysore Commissioner stating that the question of the restoration of the properties of Sri Arava Iyer may be dropped and requesting that the loan sanctioned in G.O. no 803-S/Mys 136-31-2 dy 4.12.31 be permitted to be used for the purpose of redeeming the mulgi lands mortgaged to one Mr. A. Hamid Sab and to Mr. Mandi Subbaiya referred to therein

4

1) Law file 121-34-2 leading to G.O. no P 3336-60 dy 5/1/35 is noted in margin requisitions for which have been sent to the Govt. Advocate

A.G. is not readily available for being furnished for reference in this connection

2) As seen from para 1 of D.C's letter of 31-1-35, Mr. Abdul Hamid Sab, the mortgagee has agreed to a composition payment of him of Rs 1500/- & Mr. Mandi Subbaiah has agreed to accept Rs 1350/- in full satisfaction of his claim against the loan in 3; Thus the total loan amounts to Rs 2850/-, which is proposed to be increased from Rs 3200/- loaned under the G.O. dated 4.12.31 but which has not been drawn and made use of for reasons specified in the above mentioned

3) The above proposal together with

x B.

4

para 2 of in same letter

Serial No.

The proposal of dropping the question of restoring the mitta properties to the Managers of the mitta, authorities are to pass —

Govt Memo 12-1-1935 vide the Dy.C. recommends that, in the case explained by him,

1) the question of restoration of mitta properties for Govt to the Swamigalu may be dropped for the present and

2) that the loan of Rs 3200/- granted to the Mitta vide G.O. No. 4-12-31 (Fin. E) for the General Muzrai funds for purchase of certain lands in Cholavanda Village, Mulbagal Taluk, for Mr. Nageswamayya, may be permitted to be utilised for redeeming the lands mortgaged to Mr. Abdul Hamid Sahib and Mr. Nandi Kittayya referred to at A above.

23) These two proposals may be sanctioned.

12/1/35

Ch: Secy) 2nd wanting 24 Dec 12-1-35

12/1/35

I agree —

13/1

15/1/35

WD 01935—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

Mung File No. 58 of 193 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

ab d/1 hlw
Gr 1/1
1671 4) Dec 1 16/11/35

G.O no 1015-17 G IE 1 1935 Sanctioning 5-
The proposals of the Mungrai Commissioner.

A
23/1

In all future correspondence please quote this
No. A.2.C.1698/28-29
Encls

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT.

Dated Kolar, the 7th February *193*

914

FROM

T. G. RAMA IYER, ESQ, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
7 FEB. 1935

To 8/2/35

Rajasevasakta
R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:- O.S.Nos.417 and 418 of 31-32 on the file of the Kolar Munsiff's Court(suits filed on behalf of the Sri Avani Mutt).

With reference to the Government order No.1015-17/Muz.58-34-5 dated the 16th January 1935, sanctioning a loan of Rs.3200/- for the compromise of the above two suits, I have the honor to state that a sum of Rs.2850/- was drawn and paid to the mortgagees, Messrs. Abdul Hameed and Pandi Subbiah.

2. Mr.G.R.Srinivasa Rao, Advocate, on behalf of the Mutt, states that Rajinama applications were filed in court on 2nd February 1935 and decrees were passed in both the cases. The mortgage deeds executed by the late Swamigalu have been taken back with due endorserents.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Asst.Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.
7/2/35 (absent from station).

No action is called for
& this can be filed.
13/2 I.A.S.R.S. 14/2

C 13.]
No. 1646/33-34 --
Third One

GENL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
96
27 JUL 1934
MUZRAI BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 22nd July *1934.*

24

HK 58 34-1

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

Management of the Sri Avani Mutt.

I have the honor to state that the late Swami of the Sri Avani Mutt died on 11th April 1925 in the Hyderabad State. As no successor had been appointed, Government took over the management of the properties under Section 25(2) of the Muzrai Regulation (vide G.O. No. 1535-8/Muz.264-24-6 dated 22nd April 1925). Thereafter, steps were taken to select a proper successor; and Government finally in their order No.530-33/Muz.268-26-8 dated 29th September 1927, approved the appointment of the present incumbent to the peeta. According to the proviso under Section 25(2) of Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation, the management has to cease in such cases when a successor is duly appointed and is competent to manage the property. But the management is being continued by Government as Ved. Krishnamurti had, in his petition dated 17th February 1933 before his induction into Sanyasasrama, made a request that the affairs of the Mutt might be looked after by Government until he acquired the requisite training in his Asrama Dharma. The Swami is now nearly 18 years of age, and it seems to be high time that he should take over the management of the properties of the Mutt.

2. The affairs of the endowed villages have been systematised as far as possible. Some Civil suits for the recovery of some of the valuable properties alienated by the previous Swami have been launched

and are in various stages of enquiry in courts. Owing to the demand for charges of litigation in addition to the normal expenses of the Mutt, and the reduced income from lands on account of the general slump now prevailing, it has been hardly possible, in spite of all efforts made, to meet the ordinary demands of the Swamigalu. As a matter of fact, Government had to permit an overdraft for the closing months of the year 1933-34 It is feared that the collection of this season may not leave much surplus. I venture to think that it is advisable to relieve ourselves of the management of the Mutt properties now that the Swamigalu is of an age when he ought to realise his responsibilities without relying too much upon Government.

3. In this connection, I send herewith copy of D.O. letter dated 29th June 1934, received from Mr. T. G. Rama Iyer, requesting that for reasons explained, Government may be moved to hand over the Mutt estates to the Swamigalu for management. I am in full agreement with the views expressed by Mr. Rama Iyer, and recommend that the management may be ordered to be restored to the Swamigalu.

4. The favour of early orders is solicited.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

N M S Rama Rao

Muzrai Commissioner.

I beg to state that the present Swamigalu of the Avani Mutt who had attained majority was installed to the Peeta in 1928 and the estate of the Mut has been under the management of Government for the last six years. It has also been proposed that the Swamigalu should tour from the 26th instant. It may be remembered that there were also some interpellations at the Representative Assembly enquiring when Government propose to return the management of the institution to the Swamigalu. As the Swamigalu is old enough to tour round among his Sishyas it does not seem to be necessary to continue the management of the estates by Government as agent of the Mutt. There are certain items for litigation relating to the properties in British India which cannot be satisfactorily attended to here in Kolar and it is only the Swamigalu through his Parupathegar that can attend to the proper management of the properties situated outside the Mysore State. The scale of expenditure of the institution also requires revision so as to keep the expenditure within prudent limits. So long as the institution is under Government management this responsibility is not likely to be realised by the Swamigalu to the extent to which he would if he were managing the estate. There is a constant tendency to ask for over drafts. I therefore request you to kindly move the Government to sanction the handing over of the Mutt estates to the Swamigalu for management.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner

Appendix F C—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mcg

File No. 58-34

Serial No. 1

No. 486 Income

To

The Hon'ble Finance

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 2-9-34

Signed by B Mukherjee

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 1646/33-34 dated 24.7.34 ~~requesting~~ proposing the restoration of the manage ment of the Sri Alwar Math to the Swamiyar, I am directed to state that ~~the~~ your letter does not indicate that the Swamiyar ~~was consulted~~ agrees to the proposal ~~about the proposal~~ and ~~to request you to be so good as to consult him about the proposal~~ and also the date from which it might be given effect to. Government would therefore request you to furnish these particulars before the matter is reported to Government.

Government generally agree with you & the D.C. and to request that you may be good enough to consult the Swamiyar about the proposal and also the date from which it might be given effect to. Report to Govt early.

List of enclosures ~~sent to~~ ~~Govt~~

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 486 Bangalore, Dated, 25 - 9 - 1934.

Muz 58-34-2

From

R. Ranga Rao, Esquire, B.A., I.C.S.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

N. Madhava Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 16/6/23-24 dated the 21st July 1934 proposing the restoration of the management of the Sri Avani Mutt to the Swamigalu, I am directed to state that Government generally agree with you and the Deputy Commissioner and to request that you may be good enough to consult the Swamigalu about the proposal and also the date from which it might be given effect to and submit a report to Government early.

I have the honor to be,
S i r ,
Your most obedient servant,

for Chief Secretary to Government.

M C 13.]
No. 389/34-35
Encl 2

GEN 78
8 JAN 1935
MUZRAI BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 31st December 1934.

371/35

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

RECEIVED
5 JAN 1935

To

Rajasevasakta
R. Rajagopalachari, Avl. Bar.,
Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore. *Department.*

SIR,

Management of the properties belonging to Sri Ramalingeshwara Swami, Mulabagal Taluk.

With reference to your letter No. [illegible], dated 19th September 1934 on the above, I am directed to forward herewith copies of letters No. A 2 C 1609/33-34 dated 22nd October 1934 (an extract from letter no. A 2 C 850/30-31 dated 3rd December 1931 addressed by the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District together with copy of Government letter No. [illegible] October 1934 addressed to the Deputy Commissioner, in regard to the matter.

The Swamiglu proposes that the properties of the Swami may continue under the management of the Deputy Commissioner, the ~~said~~ properties being subject to the usual check of the Deputy Commissioner and the Taluk Tahsildar should attend to the collection and other work under the orders of the Deputy Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner should arrange to send the amounts outside the State to the Swamiglu from time to time, those within the State being remitted under the instructions for the time for reasons explained.

2. The Swamiglu explains that he does not intend to sell the Inam properties but to conduct the Inam Service to the extent of Rs. 7000/- or so from the income and clear the decreed debts etc. If the Government are prepared to grant the request the management will be taken over and the Government informed and advance is recovered, the will be treated as [illegible]

would be better for Government to divest itself of the responsibility.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Referring to your letter [illegible] views on the representations [illegible] Mutt estates under the Government Agency, [illegible] ers of Government have since been received to the effect that the decree amount due to Nagendra Rao and others for the purchase of the Mutt land [illegible] met from the Mutt funds. As the Mutt has no funds, the only [illegible] isfy the decree is to advance a loan of about Rs. 4000/- from Government [illegible] ue the management of the Mutt estates by Government until the loan is covered. There are two other mortgage claims to which only the lands [illegible] ch are the subject matter of the litigation in the Polar Court, should. otiations are proceeding to effect a compromise. A further sum of about 000/- will also have to be lent by Government to release the valuable lands Mutt encumbered by the late Swamigalu. In these circumstances, the Mutt properties may continue under Government. A [illegible] l be submitted regarding the sanction of the loan.

"C O P Y"

For Mutt Commissioner.

Letter No.A2-C.690/30-31 dated 5-12-4 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

O.S.No.21/31-32 on the file of the Subordinate Judge, Tarikere, (Sri Avani Mutt Vs. D. Bharathi Bai and one other).

---

4. It may be mentioned in this connection that one half of the properties of the Mutt outside the State is hereditary and the other half personal and it is desirable now to hand over the properties of the Mutt absolutely. The head of the Mutt has attained majority and he must be able to manage the Mutt estates, at least bit by bit. The question of handing back the estate situated within the State will have to lie over for the present on account the proposed loan to be sanctioned on the security of these same

---

"Extract"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

M C 13.] No. 818/3283 Circle H

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER, IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, ... *193*

FROM

~~N. MADHAVA RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,~~

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 797 JAN

CHIEF SECRETARY 9 JAN

To

R. Ranga Rao

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

.....*Department.*

SIR,

I have the honour to [illegible]

1. Letter [illegible]
with its enclosures.
2. C. [illegible]

[illegible] Commissioner, [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[

until the disposal of the appeal and unless the decision is ~~given~~ again against the Mutt. As regards item No.(ii), a loan of Rs.5200/- was sanctioned in G.O.M.No.365-5/Muz.136-31-2 dated 4-12-1931 for reclaiming a portion of the lands alienated to Mr. Nageswara Iyer, but the sanction was not availed of, as, in the meanwhile, Mr. Nageswara Iyer died. The negotiations having not yet been completed with the heirs of Mr. Nageswara Iyer, there is no immediate necessity for the grant of the loan of Rs.5000/- asked for.

3. As regards items nos.(iii) and (iv), the Deputy Commissioner has reported in the second of his communications referred to above, that they are ripe for a settlement by compromise. Mr. A. Subba Rao, Convenor of the Committee of Management, has given a petition in this office under direction from the Swamigalu that the loan of Rs.2850/- recommended for by the Deputy Commissioner may be sanctioned on the security of the lands to be redeemed, as it is very necessary to redeem all the mortgaged lands in view of their being very valuable ones.

4. As the Deputy Commissioner is also of the same view, I request that the terms of the compromise by which the entire properties will be redeemed from the two mortgages may be approved. As regards funds, as the negotiations with Mr. Nageswara Iyer's heirs have not yet been completed, I request that the loan sanctioned in the Government order of the 4th December 1931 be permitted to be utilised for this purpose, the loan bearing an interest of 5% and payable in 30 instalments. The lands got back may be taken as security, the annual instalments being recoverable from out of the proceeds of the lands in the first instance and to be made up by the Swamigalu if the proceeds should not be sufficient. The other items of the loan asked for, may be deferred for the present and considered when the actual necessity arises.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Subject:- Loan of Rs.15,000/-

I have the honour to [illegible] received from the Ramgarh [illegible] Rs.15,000/- repayable in 20 [illegible] instalments, with effect from [illegible] gradual extinction. It is therefore [illegible] favourable consideration.

"SURY"

[signature]

For [illegible]

VRB

favourable consideration.

Yours faithfully,

Deputy Commissioner

No. 51
Circular memo

From

His Holiness Sri Vidyaranya Bharati
Swamigalu of
Sri Avani Singeri Mutt
Avani.

To,
The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District,
Kolar

Sir,

The undersigned respectfully lays before you the following representation, regarding certain matters connected with Sri Avani Singeri Mutt, and requests that it may be kindly considered sympathetically and that a strong recommendation, may be made to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja, to afford gracious and necessary help to save the Mutt at this critical time.

Sri Avani Singeri Mutt is an ancient and Sacred Institution. It has had a succession of very pious and distinguished Mathadipathis, ever since its starting, over 500 years ago. The original headquarters of the Mutt was at Kolar, known then as Kalahalapura, and it is said that about 300 years ago, it was removed to Avani, as a fit place for Tapasya, as it is situated at the foot of a hillock, reputed to be the Ashrama of Valmiki and where Sri Sitadevi, the Consort of Sri Rama, gave birth to the twin sons, Lava and Kusa. The Mutt has Sishyas not only in the Mysore State but the adjoining Districts of the Madras Presidency.

The reputation of the Mutt was so high that one of its Swamis was invited by His Highness the late Sri Krishna Raja Wodeyar III, of revered memory, to perfo the Mahasa Sthapana (ಶಂಕುಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ) of Sri Srikanteswar Swamis temple at Nanjangud. When His

went to Mysore, in Compliance with His Highness' request, he was received with full honours, and a Pāda Pūja (ಪಾದ ಪೂಜೆ) of Rs 1,000/- was done to him besides several other items of Puja. The reputation of the Mutt continued to be very high till about 40 years ago

Unfortunately, on the succession of the late Swamigalu, the fortunes of the Mutt fell on evil days and during his time, not only were the affairs of the Mutt mismanaged to the utter dissatisfaction of the Government Officers involving the loss of prestige of the Mutt, but its vast properties, both movable and immoveable, were alienated either by sale or mortgage. He left the headquarters in 1914, and after a time in the Madras Presidency and in 1925 in H. E. H the Nizam's Territory, without appointing a successor. As the affairs of the Mutt were in a chaotic condition then, the Government of His Highness, graciously assumed the Charge of the Mutt properties and have been rendering great helps to the Mutt, in managing its properties, and also trying to get back the properties improperly alienated by the late Swamiji. For this great service, the Mutt and its Sishyas are very grateful

The undersigned has been, ever since his early days, of a religious temperament and his aim in life has been to lead a quiet life useful to him and to his following. With this end in view he was not anxious to lead the life of a householder (ಗೃಹಸ್ಥ) and therefore he gave up his studies after passing the S S L C Examination. The late Swamigalu having died, not appointing a successor, the undersigned complied with the request of some prominent Sishyas of the Mutt to agree to the succession. He was fondly hoping that he could lead a quiet, religious and useful life

It was a great disappointment to him when he came to know the true affairs of the Mutt after his succession. His Educational progress is impeded. He has been trying his best to induce the Sishyas of the Mutt and, has been appealing to the Government, to save the Mutt and to enable him to continue his Sapasya (ತಪಸ್ಸು). He acknowledges with gratitude the help rendered by Court Officers, in this behalf

The Mutt has some Jodi Villages in the State and in the Chittoor District besides Inam and Govt Lands

have been alienated by the late Swamigals for much smaller amounts than their actual value. The Govt have been doing all they could to reclaim these land by Contesting the Validity of the alienation in courts for which the undersigned is Ver grateful.

To crown all these difficulties of the undersigned one Mr. Nagaraja Rao of Krishnagiri, has obtained a decree against the Matt for Rs 6,700,- being the amount of the loan of Rs 2,000/- which the late Swamigals was said to have borrowed at an Exorbitant rate of interest of 36 per annum. This has to be met.

An Extent of 44 acres of Wet and 41 acres of dry belonging to the Matt was purchased by the late Mr Nageswara Iyer. His heirs who are Sishyas of the Matt willing to give back these lands for a concession price these lands are very valuable and were the main stay the Matt when they were in its possession. If they are secured much of the present difficulties of the Matt will be taken away.

There are other lands totalling in Extent 25 acres of Wet now in the possession of Messrs Abdul Hamid and Maud Subbayya. They are also willing to part with possession at a concession rate. If all these lands are restored to the Matt, its possession will be secure and the undersigned will be kindly enabled to enjoy peace of mind and will better attend to his duties as a Sanyasi The undersigned requests that you will very kindly help him to do this. This can only be done by your kindly securing a loan from the gracious Government to meet these demands.

The present, urgent and very necessary needs of the Matt as detailed in the accompanying memorandum are the following

1. To meet the decree of Mr. Naga Raja Rao — Rs 6,500-00
2. To get the Matt lands now in possession of Mr. Nageswara Iyers heirs } Rs 5,000-00
3. To redeem the lands mortgaged to Mr. A. Hamid Rs 1,500-00

Rs 15000-00

The undersigned prays that you may be kindly pleased to recommend the amount being graciously granted as a loan by the Govt to be paid back in 20 years with interest at 5% by Equated annual payments. The accompanying memorandum deals in details with the ways and means by which the loan would be paid back. The undersigned begs permission to state that the Estimate of income therein adopted is very low and can no year go below it.

The undersigned after he returned to Avani, personally inspected the above lands and made a thorough enquiry as regards the present Gutta (Kist), they are fetching, and can assure you, Sir, That in no case has the ~~Estimate~~ Estimate been exaggerated.

The lands which are proposed to be taken back from M. Nagiswara Iyer's heirs, 44 acres of Wet, are now giving a Gutta of 9 candies per acre if only one crop is taken and 6½ candies if two crops are taken. As these lands are below the tanks which are never known to have failed, they are very valuable. It is common to take two crops. The lands now in possession of M. Manoe Subbaya are, it is said giving 3 candies an acre.

To be doubly sure, a uniform rate of 2 candies an acre, has been adopted. The Mutt will certainly be able to pay the additional amount, if any, towards the annual instalments partly by retrenching in the present scale of Expenditure and partly by Earnings.

It is humbly requested that you will kindly recommend the above loan being granted graciously by the Govt of His Highness and save this sacred and Ancient Institution.

For this act of kindness, the undersigned ever prays for the prosperity of His Highness' Government.

श्री विद्यारण्यभारती

Avani.
the 27th Dec. 1934

[illegible]

Brought forward Rs. 864/-,

Abdul Hamid's lands to be redeemed:-

14 acres wet land yielding at least 20 candies, which at .8/- per candy will give .28.....) . 224 ,

Mundi Subbiah's Land:-

About 12 acres yielding 20 candies..... . 150/ ,

G R A N D TOTAL..... . 1,254/-.

Deduct land revenue and cess:-

Mr. Nageswarier's lands -	wet land .246-8-0) dry " 71-8-0) Cess..... 41-0-0)	.	360-[illegible]
Abdul Hamid's land -	wet land ,, 73-0-0) Cess..... 9-0-0)	.	82/-
Mundi Subbiah's land -	land revenue. 60-0-0) cess..... 7-0-0)	Rs.	75/-
	T O T A L.....	Rs.	517/-.

N E T I N C O M E.....Rs.1,254/- minus 517/- = Rs.737/-.

From the above it will be seen that if the Government kindly sanction Rs.14,500/- the Mutt will have to meet Rs.425-8-0 or the instalments be spread over 20 years ~~the Mutt will have to pay Rs.~~

I have consulted Sri Swamiji in the matter and he is sure that he can pay the Mutt portion of the instalments without failure from the present income of the Mutt. I am confident that this will be done.

~~If any other~~ It is respectfully submitted that the Deputy Commissioner would be pleased to make a strong recommendation to the Government and secure a loan and thus save this ancient and sacred institution.

counter signed by-
श्रीविद्यारण्यभारती
27-12-1934.

As for the security Sri Swamiji is agreeable to any conditions that may be proposed by the Deputy Commissioner in charge of the Mutt properties.

Subbarao
Convener

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:—

Government Order No.303-5/Muz.134-31-2 dated 4.12.1931 sanctioning loan of Rs.3,200/- to Sri Avani Mutt for reclaiming some of the Mutt lands alienated to Mr. Nageswara-Iyer.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.818/32-33 dated 7.1.1935, from the Muzrai Commissioner, stating for reasons specified therein that the question of the restoration of Mutt properties to the Swami of Sri Avani Mutt which had first been proposed by him may be dropped for the present, and requesting approval (1) to the terms of compromise according to which certain properties of the Mutt mortgaged to Mr. Abdul Hamid Sab and Mr. Nandi Subbaiya may entirely be redeemed on payment of Rs.1,500/- to the former and Rs.1,350/- to the latter; and (2) to the loan of Rs.3,200/- sanctioned in the Government Order read above but not drawn till now being utilised for this purpose, ~~permitted to be utilised for~~, - the loan bearing interest at 6 per cent per annum and repayable in 20 annual instalments.

ORDER NO. 1015-17/Muz 5-8-34-8 Income Tax

Dated, Bangalore, the 16th January 1935.

The proposals of the Muzrai Commissioner are sanctioned.

Chief Secretary to Government.

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
" Comptroller to Government.
" Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

7/16.1.35.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Mis

File No. 58-34

Serial No. 5

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 16-1-1935.

Read— G.O. no 803-5/Mis 126-31.

dated 4.12.1931 sanctioning a loan of Rs 3200/- to Sri Asani Venkatappa for reclaiming ~~a portion of~~ some waste lands alienated to him ~~by Govt~~ by Nageswara Iyer.

2) Correspondence ending with Order thereon No 6076 Telegram No 18/02-35 dated 9.1.1935 from the M.C. Tarikere for reasons specified therein that the question of restoration of waste properties may be dropped for the present, requesting approval (1) to the terms of the transfer called for.

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

translated ?

placed at the disposal of the press ?

published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Signed by

"B" 13/1 Under Secretary

It has been proposed by the Collector of Kadur to redeem the lands mortgaged by Abdul Hamid — Rs 1500/-

" to redeem lands mortgaged by Francis Sulaiman. — Rs 1350. and

Total Rs 2850

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examned by

73—GBPM—20,000—10-8-31.